UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,731	10/25/2006	Stefan Leyen	DNAG-310	1384
	7590 08/18/201 & JAWORSKI, LLP	EXAMINER		
666 FIFTH AV			STEWART, JASON-DENNIS NEILKEN	
NEW TORK, I	<b>VI</b> 10103-3198		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3738		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyipdocket@fulbright.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/549,731	LEYEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		CACCIT BENING CIEWAN	0700	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REP	LY FILED <u>21 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
app app for (	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on lication, applicant must timely file one of the following lication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or (	ich places the 3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-		
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire L Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount on shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte- ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w IENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3.	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, they raise new issues that would require further color they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloder). They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
	appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
non	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al -allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
how The Clai Clai Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: m(s) rejected: 35-45. m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an exp	or or
<u>AFFIDAV</u>	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
bec	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached	d.
11. 🛛 Th	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance	e because:
	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	AS J SWEET/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument are not persuasive. Bunz discloses locking features on the outside of the sliding cup. Martinie is used as a teaching to show a common locking design that is well known and used in the art.